

## Comparison of Recommendations in the Delta Vision Task Force Strategic Plan with Recommendations in the Delta Vision Committee Implementation Report

*How to read this document:*

Recommendations from the Delta Vision Task Force are found in the left column. The center column indicates whether the Delta Vision Committee addressed that particular recommendation in their Implementation Report and what position they took. Further detail is found in the right column.

Where the Committee report is specific, the language of the Committee is used. Where the Committee intent can reasonably be understood, that is noted.

The Committee used “X” marks in yes or no columns to indicate their recommendations on the Task Force’s goals and strategies. Where the Committee offered comment, those comments are shown in italicized font. Comparisons developed based on an understanding of the Committee’s positions are shown as “Committee supports,” “Committee opposes,” “No clear Committee position,” or “No Committee discussion.”

<b>Delta Vision Blue Ribbon Task Force Recommendations</b>	<b>Delta Vision Committee Response to Task Force Recommendation</b>		<b>Comments (Italicized text from Committee report; standard text are comments from this analysis)</b>
	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	
<b>Goal 1: Legally acknowledge the co-equal goals of restoring the Delta ecosystem and creating a more reliable water supply for California</b>	X		<i>Page 1: Summary Recommendation to the Governor</i>
<b>Strategy 1.1:</b> Make the co-equal goals the foundation of Delta and water policy making.	X		<i>Page 14: Evaluate how best to place the coequal goals into state statute</i> Note qualification “as further defined” and process described at page 14
<b>Action 1.1.1:</b> Write the co-equal goals into the California Constitution or into statute.	No clear Committee position		Note shift to “consistent with” existing laws, which could render coequal subordinate to existing fragmented laws vs device for integration
<b>Action 1.1.2:</b> Incorporate the co-equal goals into the mandated duties and responsibilities of all state agencies with significant involvement in the Delta.	No clear Committee position		
<b>Action 1.1.3:</b> Require the achievement or advancement of the co-equal goals in all water, environmental, and other bonds, and operational agreements and	No clear Committee position		

Delta Vision Blue Ribbon Task Force Recommendations	Delta Vision Committee Response to Task Force Recommendation		Comments (Italicized text from Committee report; standard text are comments from this analysis)
	Yes	No	
water contracts or water rights permits that directly or indirectly fund activities in the Delta.			
<b>Goal 2: Recognize and enhance the unique cultural, recreational, and agricultural values of the California Delta as an evolving place, an action critical to achieving the co-equal goals</b>	X		<i>Page 10: The Delta is a Unique and Valued Place</i>
<b>Strategy 2.1:</b> Apply for federal designation of the Delta as a National Heritage Area, and expand the State Recreation Area network in the Delta.	X		<i>Page 11: Create a Delta National Heritage Area</i>
<b>Action 2.1.1:</b> Apply by 2010 for the designation of the Delta as a federally recognized National Heritage Area.	Committee supports		Note spatial modification in “all or portions” of Delta
<b>Action 2.1.2:</b> Expand by 2010 the State Recreation Area network in the Delta, combining existing and newly designated areas.	No clear Committee position		Not mentioned
<b>Strategy 2.2:</b> Establish market incentives and infrastructure to protect, refocus, and enhance the economic and public values of Delta agriculture.	X		
<b>Action 2.2.1:</b> Establish special Delta designations within existing federal and state agricultural support programs.	Committee supports		Actions 2.2.1 through 2.3.2 folded together in discussion at page 11
<b>Action 2.2.2:</b> Conduct needed research and development for agricultural sustainability in the Delta.	Committee supports		See comment in 2.2.1 above
<b>Action 2.2.3:</b> Establish new markets for innovative agricultural products and enterprises in the Delta.	Committee supports		See comment in 2.2.1 above
<b>Strategy 2.3:</b> Develop a regional economic plan to support increased investment in agriculture, recreation, tourism, and other resilient land uses.	X		<i>Page 11: Develop a Delta Economic Plan</i>

Delta Vision Blue Ribbon Task Force Recommendations	Delta Vision Committee Response to Task Force Recommendation		Comments (Italicized text from Committee report; standard text are comments from this analysis)
	Yes	No	
<b>Action 2.3.1:</b> Charge the Delta Protection Commission with facilitating a consortium of local governments to create a regional economic development plan that addresses agriculture, recreation, tourism, and other innovative land uses.	Committee supports		See comment in 2.2.1 above
<b>Action 2.3.2:</b> Establish special enterprise zones at the major “gateways” to the Delta as part of the economic development plan.	Committee supports		See comment in 2.2.1 above
<b>Strategy 2.4:</b> Establish a Delta Investment Fund to provide funds for regional economic development and adaptation.	X		<i>Page 12: Establish a Delta Investment Fund</i>
<b>Action 2.4.1:</b> Initiate the Delta Investment Fund with state funding.	Committee supports		Generally supportive in language, p. xx
<b>Action 2.4.2:</b> Structure the Fund so that it can accept revenues from federal, state, local, and private sources.	Committee supports		<i>See comment 2.4.1 above</i>
<b>Action 2.4.3:</b> Place the Fund under the joint management of the Delta Protection Commission and a consortium of local governments.	Committee supports		<i>See comment 2.4.1 above</i>
<b>Strategy 2.5:</b> Adopt land use policies that enhance the Delta’s unique values, and that are compatible with the public safety, levee, and infrastructure strategies of Goal 6.	X		<i>Page 12: Plan for Appropriate Land Uses for At-Risk Areas in the Delta</i>
<b>Actions:</b> See Goals 3 and 6 for actions to address this Strategy.			
<b>Goal 3: Restore the Delta ecosystem as the heart of a healthy estuary</b>	X		<i>Page 6: The Delta Ecosystem Must be Protected and Revitalized</i>
<b>Strategy 3.1:</b> Restore large areas of interconnected habitats—on the order of 100,000 acres—within the Delta and its watershed by 2100.	X		<i>Page 7: Large-Scale Habitat Restoration</i>
<b>Action 3.1.1:</b> Increase the frequency of floodplain inundation	No clear Committee	No clear Committee	Not mentioned specifically but could result through DFG and SWRCB

Delta Vision Blue Ribbon Task Force Recommendations	Delta Vision Committee Response to Task Force Recommendation		Comments (Italicized text from Committee report; standard text are comments from this analysis)
	Yes	No	
and establish new floodplains.	position	position	process to set stream and Delta flow requirements.
<b>Action 3.1.2:</b> Restore tidal habitats and protect adjacent grasslands and farmlands throughout the Delta, with active near-term pursuit of restoration targets.	Committee supports		Protection of adjacent lands mentioned at page 7
<b>Strategy 3.2:</b> Establish migratory corridors for fish, birds, and other animals along selected Delta river channels.	X		<i>Page 7: Large-Scale Habitat Restoration</i>
<b>Action 3.2.1:</b> Improve physical habitats along selected corridors by 2015.	No clear Committee position	No clear Committee position	Some mention, p X
<b>Action 3.2.2:</b> Provide adequate flows at the right times to support fish migrations, and reduce conflicts between conveyance and migration, by 2012.	No clear Committee position	No clear Committee position	No specific mention of flows and fish migration, but could result from processes endorsed (BDCP, ERP and required Delta stream flow regulations)
<b>Action 3.2.3:</b> Immediately use the Central Valley Flood Protection Plan to identify areas of the San Joaquin River within and upstream of the Delta where flood conveyance capacity can be expanded.	Committee supports		Probably inferred in discussion of South Delta Flood Bypass at page 11
<b>Action 3.2.4:</b> Using the National Heritage Area and regional economic development planning efforts, begin immediately to identify ways to encourage recreational investment along the key river corridors.	Committee supports		Inferred in recommended economic plan at page 11
<b>Strategy 3.3:</b> Promote viable, diverse populations of native and valued species by reducing risks of fish kills and harm from invasive species.	X		<i>Pages 6 and 7: Several actions to reduce stressors</i>
<b>Action 3.3.1:</b> Reduce fish kills in Delta pumps by instituting diversion management measures by 2009, implementing near-term conveyance improvements by 2015, and relocating diversions.	No clear Committee position	No clear Committee position	Shifts to discussion of “non project” diversions on page 8; emphasizes construction of alternative conveyance; near-term actions could be a product of BDCP

Delta Vision Blue Ribbon Task Force Recommendations	Delta Vision Committee Response to Task Force Recommendation		Comments ( <i>Italicized text from Committee report; standard text are comments from this analysis</i> )
	Yes	No	
<b>Action 3.3.2:</b> Control harmful invasive species at existing locations by 2012, and minimize or preclude new introductions and colonization of new restoration areas to non-significant levels.	Committee supports		States invasive species must be controlled at page 6, but focuses on a single existing DFG program, characterized as underfunded.
<b>Strategy 3.4:</b> Restore Delta flows and channels to support a healthy Delta estuary.	X		<i>Page 7: Develop and implement streamflow recommendations</i>
<b>Action 3.4.1:</b> Charge the Department of Fish and Game with completing recommendations for in-stream flows for the Delta and high priority rivers and streams in the Delta watershed by 2012 and for all major rivers and streams by 2018.	Committee supports		Listed among actions on calendar at page 4, but not discussed in text
<b>Action 3.4.2:</b> Develop and adopt management policies supporting increased diversion during wet periods, a joint effort of the State Water Resources Control Board, the Department of Fish and Game, the Department of Water Resources, and related federal agencies, to be completed by 2012.	No clear Committee position	No clear Committee position	No mention of wet period diversion concept or of integrated management of full hydrology, including flood plains, storage, conveyance, etc.
<b>Action 3.4.3:</b> Adopt new State Water Resources Control Board requirements by 2012 to increase spring Delta outflow. Commence implementation no later than 2015.	Committee supports		No mention of flow requirements in specific time periods or locations but could result from processes endorsed (BDCP, ERP, stream flow regulations from DFG and SWRCB processes)
<b>Action 3.4.4:</b> Adopt new State Water Resources Control Board requirements by 2012 to reintroduce fall outflow variability no later than 2015.	No clear Committee position	No clear Committee position	No mention of flow requirements in specific time periods or locations but could result from processes endorsed (BDCP, ERP, stream flow regulations from DFG and SWRCB processes)
<b>Action 3.4.5:</b> Increase San Joaquin River flows between February and June by revising the State Water Resources Control Board's Vernalis flow objectives and the state and federal water projects' export criteria. Revise	Committee supports		No mention of time periods, but calls for SWRCB to revise San Joaquin River flow objectives

Delta Vision Blue Ribbon Task Force Recommendations	Delta Vision Committee Response to Task Force Recommendation		Comments (Italicized text from Committee report; standard text are comments from this analysis)
	Yes	No	
the flow objectives and criteria no later than 2012 and commence implementation as soon as possible thereafter.			
<b>Action 3.4.6:</b> Provide short-duration San Joaquin River pulse flows in the fall starting by 2015.	No clear Committee position	No clear Committee position	No mention of pulse flows, but could result from processes endorsed (BDCP, ERP, stream flow regulations from DFG and SWRCB processes)
<b>Action 3.4.7:</b> Reconfigure Delta waterway geometry by 2015 to increase variability in estuarine circulation patterns.		No Committee discussion	No mention of any of the related concepts and not visible as a key component of current processes which are recommended.
<b>Strategy 3.5:</b> Improve water quality to meet drinking water, agriculture, and ecosystem long-term goals.	X		<i>Page 7: Require relevant state agencies to immediately expand evaluation of potential stressors.</i>
<p><b>Action 3.5.1:</b> Require the Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board to conduct three actions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Immediately re-evaluate wastewater treatment plant discharges into Delta waterways and upstream rivers and set discharge requirements at levels that are fully protective of human health and ecosystem needs.</li> <li>• Adopt by 2010 a long-term program to regulate discharges from irrigated agricultural lands.</li> <li>• Review by 2012 the impacts of urban runoff on Delta water quality and adopt a plan to reduce or eliminate those impacts.</li> </ul>	Committee supports		Actions mentioned at page 7, but without dates
<b>Action 3.5.2:</b> Relocate as many Delta drinking water intakes as feasible away from sensitive habitats and to channels where water quality is higher.	No clear Committee position	No clear Committee position	Calls for relocation of water intake of Contra Costa WD only, as a near term action, page 19
<b>Action 3.5.3:</b> Establish Total	Committee		At page 7, with same dates

Delta Vision Blue Ribbon Task Force Recommendations	Delta Vision Committee Response to Task Force Recommendation		Comments (Italicized text from Committee report; standard text are comments from this analysis)
	Yes	No	
Maximum Daily Load programs by 2012 for upstream areas to reduce organic and inorganic mercury entering the Delta from tributary watersheds.	supports		
<b>Action 3.5.4:</b> Begin comprehensive monitoring of water quality and Delta fish and wildlife health in 2009.	Committee supports		At page 7, but date shifted to 2010
<b>Goal 4: Promote statewide water conservation, efficiency, and sustainable use</b>	X		
<b>Strategy 4.1:</b> Reduce urban, residential, industrial, and agricultural water demand through improved water use efficiency and conservation, starting by achieving a statewide 20 percent per capita reduction in water use by 2020.	X		<i>Page 8: Water Use Reductions</i> <i>Page 9: Conservation Improvements</i>
<b>Action 4.1.1:</b> Improve statewide water use efficiency and conservation.	Committee supports		Discussion of action items 4.1.1 through 4.1.3 embedded in recommendations re Governor's 20% by 2020; Committee discussion does not expect conservation of water in agriculture sector
<b>Action 4.1.2:</b> Reduce urban per-capita water demand through specific recommended actions.	Committee supports		
<b>Action 4.1.3:</b> Ensure the most efficient use of water in agriculture.	No clear Committee position	No clear Committee position	See comment 4.1.1 above
<b>Strategy 4.2:</b> Increase reliability through diverse regional water supply portfolios.	X		<i>Page 9: Financial and Technical Assistance</i> <i>Page 10: Integrated Regional Water Management</i>
<b>Action 4.2.1:</b> Modify the Water Recycling Act of 1991 to add a statewide target to recycle on the order of 1.5 million acre-feet of water annually by 2020.	Committee supports		General mention at page 4, included in charge to SWRCB and part of bond financing at page 15.
<b>Action 4.2.2:</b> Enact legislation now to encourage local water agencies to at least triple the	Committee supports		Mention of desalination at page 1, in introduction, but not developed.

Delta Vision Blue Ribbon Task Force Recommendations	Delta Vision Committee Response to Task Force Recommendation		Comments (Italicized text from Committee report; standard text are comments from this analysis)
	Yes	No	
current statewide capacity for generating new water supplies through ocean and brackish water desalination by 2020.			
<b>Action 4.2.3:</b> Request that the State Water Resources Control Board set goals by 2015 for infiltration and direct use of urban storm water runoff throughout the Delta watershed and its export areas.	Committee supports		Included as part of Integrated Regional Water Management plan, but without date.
<b>Action 4.2.4:</b> Request agencies to ensure that accurate and timely information is collected and reported on all surface water and groundwater diversions in California by 2012.	Committee supports		Recommends incentives for agency actions to improve monitoring and data reporting, but no dates.
<b>Action 4.2.5:</b> Require that all water purveyors develop an integrated contingency plan by 2015 in case of Delta water supply curtailments or drought.	No clear Committee position	No clear Committee position	No mention of any of contingency response planning for drought, earthquake, etc. Also no mention of over promise of water supply.
<b>Action 4.2.6:</b> Establish a regulatory framework that encourages efficient and integrated management of water resources at local, regional, and statewide levels, with a focus on specific actions.	Committee supports		Inferred from discussion of earlier action items
<b>Goal 5: Build facilities to improve the existing water conveyance system and expand statewide storage, and operate</b>	X		
<b>Strategy 5.1:</b> Expand options for water conveyance, storage, and improved reservoir operations.	X		<i>Page 8: Surface Storage Investigations</i> <i>Page 9: Long-Term Water Conveyance Improvements; Expand Surface and Groundwater Storage</i>
<b>Action 5.1.1:</b> Direct the Department of Water Resources and other allied agencies to further investigate the feasibility of a dual conveyance facility, building upon the Bay-Delta Conservation Plan effort.	Committee supports		At page 1, includes dual conveyance as one of the “fundamental” actions.



Delta Vision Blue Ribbon Task Force Recommendations	Delta Vision Committee Response to Task Force Recommendation		Comments (Italicized text from Committee report; standard text are comments from this analysis)
	Yes	No	
<b>Action 5.1.2:</b> Direct the Department of Water Resources, the Department of Fish and Game, and other allied agencies to recommend the size and location of new storage and conveyance facilities by the end of 2010. Develop a long-term action plan to guide design, construction, and operation, and present the recommendation and plan to the California Delta Ecosystem and Water Council for a consistency determination.	No clear Committee position	No clear Committee position	Strong recommendation to complete BDCP processes, but no referral to any other group for consistency with other policies/values. The decision re alternative conveyance appears to be the driver of other decisions.
<b>Action 5.1.3:</b> Complete substantial development and construction of new surface and groundwater storage and associated conveyance facilities by 2020, with the goal of completing all planned facilities by 2030.	No clear Committee position	No clear Committee position	Start dates of 2011-2012 recommended, but no completion date recommended.
<b>Strategy 5.2:</b> Integrate Central Valley flood management with water supply planning.	X		Discussion at several points (pages 7, 9, 13, 14) conveying support for strategy, but discussed in somewhat different terms than did TF.
<b>Action 5.2.1:</b> Change the operating rules of existing reservoirs to incorporate and reflect modern forecasting capabilities.		No Committee discussion	Not mentioned.
<b>Action 5.2.2:</b> Require the Department of Water Resources to immediately create a flood bypass along the lower San Joaquin River.	Committee supports		At page 11, recommends using existing bond funds "quickly."
<b>Action 5.2.3:</b> Request that the Department of Water Resources encourage greater infiltration as part of watershed management planning.		No Committee discussion	Not mentioned.

Delta Vision Blue Ribbon Task Force Recommendations	Delta Vision Committee Response to Task Force Recommendation		Comments (Italicized text from Committee report; standard text are comments from this analysis)
	Yes	No	
<b>Goal 6: Reduce risks to people, property, and state interests in the Delta by effective emergency preparedness, appropriate land uses, and strategic levee investments</b>	X		
<b>Strategy 6.1:</b> Significantly improve levels of emergency protection for people, assets, and resources.	X		<i>Page 11: Improve Flood protection and Emergency Response; Strengthen the Delta Levee System</i>
<b>Action 6.1.1:</b> Complete a Delta-wide regional emergency response plan by 2010 that establishes legally binding regional coordination.	No clear Committee position	No clear Committee position	No clear mention
<b>Action 6.1.2:</b> Immediately begin a comprehensive series of emergency management and preparation actions.	No clear Committee position	No clear Committee position	No clear mention
<b>Action 6.1.3:</b> Conduct a comprehensive analysis of the costs and benefits of highway protection strategies, and adopt a policy based on its findings by 2012.	Committee supports		Actions 6.1.3 and 6.1.4 recommended for CPUC and Caltrans, to complete by 2012. At page 14, mentioned as in the "Delta Plan."
<b>Action 6.1.4:</b> Complete a comprehensive analysis of the costs and benefits of infrastructure protection strategies. Adopt a policy based on its findings by 2012.	Committee supports		
<b>Strategy 6.2:</b> Discourage inappropriate land uses in the Delta region.	X		<i>Page 12: Plan for Appropriate Land Uses for At-Risk Areas in the Delta</i>
<b>Action 6.2.1:</b> Immediately strengthen land use oversight of the Cosumnes/Mokelumne floodway and the San Joaquin/South Delta lowlands.	Committee supports		At page 12, recommends seeking legislation for actions 6.2.1 through 6.2.3.
<b>Action 6.2.2:</b> Immediately strengthen land use oversight for Bethel Island, the city of Isleton, and Brannan-Andrus Island.	Committee supports		See comment at 6.2.1 above
<b>Action 6.2.3:</b> Immediately prepare local plans for these five	Committee supports		See comment at 6.2.1 above

Delta Vision Blue Ribbon Task Force Recommendations	Delta Vision Committee Response to Task Force Recommendation		Comments (Italicized text from Committee report; standard text are comments from this analysis)
	Yes	No	
at-risk locations within the primary zone: Walnut Grove (including the residential area on Grand Island), Locke, Clarksburg, Courtland, and Terminous.			
<b>Action 6.2.4:</b> Immediately form a landowner consortium to create a new land use strategy that fosters recreation, increases habitat, reverses subsidence, sequesters carbon, improves handling of dredged material, and continues appropriate agriculture on Sherman, Twitchell, and Jersey Islands.		Committee does not discuss	Not mentioned.
<b>Strategy 6.3:</b> Prepare a comprehensive long-term levee investment strategy that matches the level of protection provided by Delta levees and the uses of land and water enabled by those levees.	X		<i>Page 12: Long-Term Levee Planning</i>
<b>Action 6.3.1:</b> Require the Department of Water Resources, in cooperation with local Reclamation Districts and other agencies, to develop a comprehensive plan for Delta levee investments.	Committee supports		At page 12, by 2012.
<b>Action 6.3.2:</b> Prioritize the \$750 million appropriated by Proposition 1E and Proposition 84 funds for the improvement of Delta levees, including in legacy towns.	No clear Committee position	No clear Committee position	Nothing re priorities, but mention of "urban areas" at page 11.
<b>Action 6.3.3:</b> Require those preparing the comprehensive levee plan to incorporate the Delta Levees Classification Table to ensure consistency between levee designs and the uses of land and water enabled by those levees.	Committee supports		Concepts included in recommendations at page 12.
<b>Action 6.3.4:</b> Continue the existing Department of Water Resources levee subventions	Committee supports		At page 11.

Delta Vision Blue Ribbon Task Force Recommendations	Delta Vision Committee Response to Task Force Recommendation		Comments (Italicized text from Committee report; standard text are comments from this analysis)
	Yes	No	
program until the comprehensive levee plan is completed.			
<b>Action 6.3.5:</b> Vest continuing authority for levee priorities and funding with the California Delta Ecosystem and Water Council to ensure a cost-effective and sustainable relationship between levee investments and management of the Delta over the long term.	No clear Committee position	No clear Committee position	Nothing explicit, but flood protection and infrastructure are included in discussion of the Delta Plan.
<b>Goal 7: Establish a new governance structure with the authority, responsibility, accountability, science support, and secure funding to achieve these goals</b>	X		<i>Page 12 - 13: Strengthen Delta Governance &amp; Provide Reliable Funding</i>
<b>Strategy 7.1:</b> Establish a new California Delta Ecosystem and Water Council as a policy making, planning, regulatory, and oversight body. Abolish the existing California Bay-Delta Authority, transferring needed CALFED programs to the California Delta Ecosystem and Water Council. Establish a new Delta Conservancy to implement ecosystem restoration projects, and increase the powers of the existing Delta Protection Commission.		X	<i>Page 13: Establish the Interim Delta Policy Group, rather than a Council</i> <i>Cabinet Committee did not recommend a change in governance, but instead recommended that a new Delta Policy Group study governance and report on their recommendations by XXXX.</i> Rationale for changed recommendations re governance on pages 13-14, largely to (1) achieve "clarity" in application of co equal goals and (2) to use existing entities.
<b>Action 7.1.1:</b> Establish a California Delta Ecosystem and Water Council to replace the Bay-Delta Authority and take over CALFED programs.		Committee opposes	See proposals for an interim policy group at pages 13-14. Consists of heads or relevant agencies and departments, plus local representation; to meet at least quarterly in public; specifies roles
<b>Action 7.1.2:</b> Establish a California Delta Conservancy as early as possible in the 2009 legislative session.	Committee supports		Close to TF recommendations, at pages 14-15.
<b>Action 7.1.3:</b> Strengthen the Delta Protection Commission through legislation.	Committee supports (and modifies)		Recommendations re function much like TF, but recommended composition of DPC is different (more local officials, like BCDC). These recommendations are contingent on establishing new state level governance capacity.

Delta Vision Blue Ribbon Task Force Recommendations	Delta Vision Committee Response to Task Force Recommendation		Comments (Italicized text from Committee report; standard text are comments from this analysis)
	Yes	No	
<b>Action 7.1.4:</b> Require the California Delta Ecosystem and Water Council to create a Delta Science and Engineering Program and a Delta Science and Engineering Board by September 1, 2009.	No clear Committee position	No clear Committee position	Not mentioned, but widely supported in processes to this point, so may be an oversight.
<b>Action 7.1.5:</b> Improve the compliance of diversions water use with all applicable laws.	Committee supports		Recommends new authority for SWRCB, in some detail, at pages 9-10.
<b>Strategy 7.2:</b> Require the California Delta Ecosystem and Water Council to prepare a California Delta Ecosystem and Water Plan to ensure sustained focus and enforceability among state, federal, and local entities.	X		<i>Page 14: The interim Policy Group is to start developing a Delta Plan in coordination with local government</i>
<b>Action 7.2.1:</b> Develop a legally enforceable California Delta Ecosystem and Water Plan.	Committee supports		The concept of a legally enforceable Delta Plan is supported at page 14, charging the Interim Policy Committee with making recommendations for “how best to make the Delta Plan enforceable through statute.”
<b>Action 7.2.2:</b> Institutionalize adaptive management through updates to the California Delta Ecosystem and Water Plan every five years.	No clear Committee position	No clear Committee position	No mention of adaptive management at all, but the need for this is widely recognized. However, the TF recommendations of a plan reviewed every five years, strong science and a governance structure which can change policies is a much more robust proposal than some advanced.
<b>Action 7.2.3:</b> Charge the Delta Science and Engineering Board, with support of the Delta Science and Engineering Program, to develop a science-based adaptive management program to provide for continued learning of, and adaptation to, actions implemented by state, federal, and local agencies in the Delta.	No clear Committee position	No clear Committee position	Mention of the Science and Engineering Board on page 7, but no discussion of adaptive management.
<b>Strategy 7.3:</b> Finance the activities called for in the California Delta Ecosystem and Water Plan from multiple sources.	X		<i>Page 15: Implement Strategic Financing for Delta Sustainability</i> At page 15, specifically “supports” TF recommendations.

Delta Vision Blue Ribbon Task Force Recommendations	Delta Vision Committee Response to Task Force Recommendation		Comments (Italicized text from Committee report; standard text are comments from this analysis)
	Yes	No	
<b>Action 7.3.1:</b> Enact a series of principles regarding design of financing into legislation authorizing the California Delta Ecosystem and Water Council.	Committee supports		Actions 7.3.1 through 7.3.3 appear to be recommended, given broad endorsement of this section, but the discussion emphasizes fees and bonds. Committee basically agrees with Task Force recommendation on financing sources for any governance body, but reserves decision on what the new governance structure will be.
<b>Action 7.3.2:</b> Establish a base of revenues outside the state General Fund for the work of the California Delta Ecosystem and Water Council, the Delta Conservancy, the Delta Protection Commission, and related core activities of the Department of Fish and Game, the Department of Water Resources, and the State Water Resources	Committee supports		See comments on 7.3.1 above
<b>Action 7.3.3:</b> Find new revenue sources beyond the traditional bond funds or public allocations.	Committee supports		
<b>Strategy 7.4:</b> Optimize use of the CALFED Record of Decision and Coastal Zone Management Act to maximize participation of federal agencies in implementation of the California Delta Ecosystem and Water Plan.		X	<i>Pages 12 - 13: Consider issues that must be clarified before proceeding with recommendation.</i>
<b>Action 7.4.1:</b> Use existing authority under the CALFED Record of Decision to maximize participation of federal agencies in implementation of the Delta Vision Strategic Plan until the California Delta Ecosystem and Water Plan is completed.	No clear Committee position	No clear Committee position	No discussion of CALFED ROD but recommends continuing existing CALFED programs which articulate state and federal activities at page 13. Recommendation to request appointment of a federal representative to the interim policy group at page 13.
<b>Action 7.4.2:</b> Prepare the California Delta Ecosystem and Water Plan according to guidelines of the Coastal Zone Management Act, in order to achieve ongoing federal	No clear Committee position	No clear Committee position	Mentions CZMA as recommended by the TF but no recommendation that the plan initiated by the interim policy group satisfy CZMA but neither is that explicitly rejected.

Delta Vision Blue Ribbon Task Force Recommendations	Delta Vision Committee Response to Task Force Recommendation		Comments (Italicized text from Committee report; standard text are comments from this analysis)
	Yes	No	
consistency.			
<b>Near-Term Actions</b>			
1. Obtain needed information on water diversion and use.	X		<i>Page 10: Water Use Reporting</i>
2. Initiate collection of improved socio-economic, ecosystem, and physical structure data about the Delta to inform policy processes and project level decision making by all public agencies, local, state, and federal.	X		
3. Accelerate completion of in-stream flow analyses for the Delta watershed by the Department of Fish and Game.	X		<i>Page 7: Develop and implement streamflow recommendations.</i>
4. Conduct a Middle River Corridor Two Barrier pilot project.	X		<i>Page 6: Evaluate in 2009 and begin construction of Delta gates and barriers that improve water quality, water supply reliability and ecosystem function.</i>
5. Complete construction of an alternative intake for the Contra Costa Water District.	X		
6. Evaluate the effectiveness of a Three Mile Slough Barrier project.	X		<i>Page 6: Evaluate in 2009 and begin construction of Delta gates and barriers that improve water quality, water supply reliability and ecosystem function.</i>
7. Construct a demonstration fish protection screen at Clifton Court Forebay.			<i>Not considered.</i>
8. Advance near-term ecosystem restoration opportunities.	X		
9. Stockpile rock and other emergency response materials.	X		<i>Page 11: Improve Flood Protection and Emergency Response</i>
10. Assess and improve state capacity to respond to catastrophic events in the Delta.	X		<i>Page 11: Improve Flood Protection and Emergency Response</i>